

Petition
Greenbury Town
Pet. for Dis-
charge v.

To the Hon U. S. Heath Judge of the United States for
the district of Maryland.

The petition of Greenbury Thomas humbly sheweth - that
he is imprisoned by process issued out of the District court
of the U.S. for the reasons aforesaid, in two actions in ad-
ministrally, one at the instance of Federal Erickson, the other
at the instance of Hugh Bolton

Your petitioner prays that the oath prescribed by the act
of Congress for the relief of persons imprisoned for debt, may be
administered to him, and that the proper citation may
be issued to said plaintiffs or their attorneys, so that your
petitioner may be discharged from confinement.

John for the Petitioner -

To William H Gatchell Esquire Attorney for Federal Erickson
and Hugh Bolton

You are hereby cited and summons to appear before me at
the District Court Room in the City of Baltimore on the 28th
day of June next at 10 o'clock A. M. if you see fit to
show cause why the oath prescribed by the Act of Congress of
the United States entitled "an act for the relief of persons
imprisoned for debt" should not be administered to Greenbury
Thomas in order to obtain his release from custody under
process in two cases wherein the said Federal Erickson
and Hugh Bolton are defendants and the said Greenbury
Thomas is respondent. Witness my hand this 20th
day of ^{May} 1842.

W. H. Heath
D. Judge

Since addressed

W H Gatchell
Proct. for Balin & Erickson

Memo:-

The Court refuses to discharge the Petition
now - he being in prison under warrant issued
prosec; and it appearing that he had
conveyed property, which he owned, to
Cohen, by Bill of Sale absolute on its
face, yet with a secret agreement that
it should be reconveyed to Thomas upon
the payment of a certain loan made
to him by Cohen; or if the property should
be sold by Cohen, upon the failure of
Thomas to repay the loan, any balance
after satisfaction of the loan - to be
paid over to him Thomas, the Court
refuses to administer the oath & certify the
same with a view to his discharge
Same 23rd 1842 W. Heath

Greenberg Thomas -

E. P. Cohen - avow -

The Bill of sale made

by G. Thomas to me is absolute on
its face of it yet it was conditional
I made him advances for which
he transferred to me the Repeal to
secure the account so loaned -
I was to have power to sell his
if money not paid in Six Months
- if paid Bill of sale to be released
if sold & he brought more than
would pay my advances the
balance was to be paid over to
him - I have made advances to
an amount greater than the Repeal
would bring if sold - for since
the conveyance to me there has
been a great depreciation in the
value of such property. I offend on
Mr. Bolton's calling on me to know the
condition of Thomas as to the Repeal
I told him freely I told him the Repeal
would not pay advances which were
then 35 to 3600\$. that if he wanted to be my
place I would transfer the Repeal &

give him a check for 100\$ - Cope
formerly worth 5000\$ - Known
Thomas about a year an inde-
tious enterprising man

June 23 1842

W. S. Heath

W^t Spicer, will certify to the Circuit
Court of the United States, for the Fourth
Circuit, in & for the District of Maryland,
for the occasion of said Court, the
~~same~~
following questions, in pursuance of
the Act of Congress, entitled an Act
to establish a uniform System of
Bankruptcy throughout the United
States - that is to say -
First. Is the Act entitled an Act to
establish a uniform System of
Bank-

upon a bill in person and filed in
Court was filed, for the District
Court of the United States in and for
the District of Maryland against
Greenberry Thomas of said District, at
the instance of Frederick Casselton
& Hugh Bolton; the said process was served
upon said Thomas, who was committed
to the Jail of Baltimore City and
County for want of stipulation to
appear before the District Court upon
said, and succeeded unto the said
Casselton & Bolton. That after the Com-
mencement of the said Thomas, to wit on
the 8th day of March 1842, he applied by
Petition in writing to the Commissioners
of Insolvent Debtors for the City and County
of Baltimore, praying that the said
Commissioners would grant him
the benefit of the Insolvent Laws of the
State of Maryland, that the said Thomas
having complied with the provisions of
the said Insolvent Laws, the said Commissi-
oners did therefore grant him the

the said Thomas a personal discharge.
Is the said Thomas under arrest by virtue
of ~~the~~ discharge so granted him by the
said Commissioner until to be discharged
from the confinement aforesaid.

W. Beeth
D. Judge
April 14th. 1842

whereupon the said Thomas moved for
a writ of Habeas Corpus, in order to his
discharge from said confinement,
and being brought before the District Judge
~~H. C.~~ the question arising whether
~~is a~~ is at the request of the
Convenor for said Thomas certified
to the Circuit Court aforesaid.

Filed 14 April 1842.

Mr Spicer

Will certify to the Circuit Court of the United States for the fourth Circuit in and for the District of Maryland, for the decision of the said Court the following question, in pursuance of the Act of Congress, entituled "An Act to establish a Uniform System of Bankruptcy throughout the United States."

Process was issued upon a Libel in personam filed in the District Court of the United States in and for the District of Maryland against Greenbury Thomas ^{a resident of Dorchester County in} said District at the instance of Federal Erickson and Hugh Bolton, the said process was served upon said Thomas, who was committed to the jail of Baltimore City and County for want of Stipulation to appear before the District Court aforesaid, and answered unto the said Erickson & Bolton, that after the commitment of the said Thomas, to wit on the 8th day of March 1842 he applied by Petition in writing to the Commissioners of Insolvent Debtors for the City and County of Baltimore, praying that the said Commissioners would grant him the benefit of the Insolvent Laws of the State of Maryland, that the said Thomas having Complied with the provisions of the said Insolvent Laws, the said Commissioners did thereupon grant him the said Thomas a personal discharge, whereupon the said Thomas moved for a writ of Habeas Corpus, in order to his discharge from said Confinement, and being brought before the District Judge, the question arising thereupon is at the request of the Counsel for said Thomas Certified to the Circuit Court aforesaid.

Is the said Thomas, under and by virtue of the discharge so granted him by the said Commissioners, entitled to be discharged from the Confinement aforesaid?

U. S. Heath, Judge

April 14 1842

The United States of America

District of Maryland to wit

I Thomas Spurr Clerk of the District Court of the United States
in and for the Maryland District do hereby Certify that the
aforegoing is a true Copy of the original on file Among the
Papers and proceedings of the District Court aforesaid.

In Testimony whereof I hereunto subscribe my
name, and affix the seal of the said
District Court this fourteenth day of April
in the year of our Lord one thousand
Eight hundred and forty two.


Tho. Spurr
distl

Petition of
Greenberry Thomas
for
Habeas Corpus

Filed 9th March 1842
writ ordered to be issued
returnable Thursday
morning 10 " Ins at 10
o'clock — A. M. before
the District Court —
will issue — and returned
Remanded to the custody
of the Warden

(5)

(Personal Discharge.)

BALTIMORE, City Set. Greenbury Thomas
On the application of
of Baltimore City by petition in writing to us the subscribers, Commissioners
of Insolvent Debtors for the City and County of Baltimore, stating that ~~He is liable for debts he is~~
~~unable to pay~~ and praying to us to grant to him the benefit of the Insolvent Laws of this State, a Schedule
of his property, and ~~list of his Creditors~~, on oath, as far as he can ascertain them, being annexed to his petition, and
the said ~~Greenbury Thomas~~ having satisfied us by
competent testimony that he has resided ~~two years~~ next preceding the time of his application,
within the State of Maryland, and we having appointed ~~John Gill~~ ~~Greenbury~~
provisional Trustee for the benefit of the creditors of the said ~~Greenbury~~
~~Thomas~~ and the said Trustee having given bond with security, approved by us, for the ~~safe~~
performance of his said trust, and the said Trustee being in possession of all the property of the said Insolvent Debtor,
and the said ~~Greenbury Thomas~~ having also given bond with security approved
by us, for his personal appearance before us, at our Office in the City of Baltimore, on the ~~Second~~
day of ~~May~~ next, to answer such interrogatories as may be propounded to him by any of his
creditors, and also for his personal appearance before us on the ~~Second~~ day of ~~July~~
next, for the final hearing of his application, and also before Baltimore County Court, agreeably to an Act of Assembly,
passed at December session, 1831, entitled "An Act relating to insolvent Debtors," to answer such allegations as may
be filed against him by any of his creditors, and the said ~~Greenbury Thomas~~
having before us taken the oath directed to be taken by the said Insolvent laws for the delivery up of
his property,

These are therefore to Certify, That I have this day granted a
PERSONAL DISCHARGE to the said ~~Greenbury Thomas~~
Given under my hand this Eighth day of March
in the year eighteen hundred and Forty Two

E. Palmer

Commissioner

In Testimony Whereof, and that the aforesaying is
a True Copy of a Personal Discharge Granted by the
aforesaid Commissioners of Insolvent Debtors for the City
& County of Baltimore in the case of ~~Greenbury Thomas~~,
an Insolvent Debtor. A. Benson Coe ~~etc~~ to the
said Commissioners, have, herewith Subscribed my
name, and affixed the seal of the
said Commissioners. This Eighth Day
of March. In the year of our Lord, One
Thousand eight hundred and
Forty Two.

A. Benson Coe
P.C.

The United States District Court
in the District Court of the United States in & for the District
of Maryland.

To the Hon Judges of ~~District~~ County Court:

The petition of the undersigned humbly represents:

That your petitioner is illegally detained in the custody of
the Warden of Baltimore City & County jail and he prays your
Honors to direct the State's Writ of Habeas ^{corpus} to issue directed
to James Disney Warden aforesaid, commanding him to produce
the body of your petitioner before your Honors on a cer-
tain ^{day} together with the caption and cause of detention
of your petitioner:

And that your Honors may be said satisfied that
your petitioner is entitled to the aid of said Writ, your
petitioner refers to the evidence annexed hereto.

Attice attorney
for Greenberry Thomas

I hereby certify that Greenberry
Thomas is confined in Baltimore & County jail
for want of stipulation to appear before the
U. S District Court to answer unto the suits
of Federal Erickson & Hugh Bolton

for Disney. Warden
of Baltimore & Co jail
T. O. Deller, Clerk

Mar 8 1842

Personally appeared in open court J. D. Gee who
being sworn deposed that E. Palmer ^{one of the} Commissioners of
Indebted Debtors for city & county of Baltimore hath granted
to Greenberry Thomas a personal discharge under the
insolvent laws of Maryland, notwithstanding which
discharge, the said Thomas is detained in jail; and that
the annexed certificate of the Clerk of the Warden of the jail
was granted after the personal discharge aforesaid was
granted.

~~John Held Esq~~
~~March 9th 1842~~

Tho. Spier Esq
March 9th 1842

Mr. Spier, Since the writ of
Habeas Corpus upon the within
Petition, directed to the Warden
of the Jail of Baltimore County,
make the same returnable
before this Court tomorrow morning
the 10th Inst at 10 o'clock A.M.

Kellett
D. Judge
March 9th 1842

In the matter of } Petition for habeas corpus
Greenberg Thomas }

To the Hon Upton S. Stenth Judge of the U. S. district court
for Maryland^{the} district of Maryland:

Your petitioner humbly prays that your Honor will (according
to the act of congress in such case provided) adjourn the follow-
ing points to the fourth circuit court of the United States, for
bearing and determination.

1. That the act of congress, passed at the extra session in the year 1841,
to take effect from and after the 1st day of February 1842; being repug-
nant to various terms of the constitution; prejudicing claims reserved to
any particular State; giving legislative powers to the federal judiciary; in-
vading the subject of insolvencies; not being uniform upon every part of
the subject of bankruptcies to which its provisions extend; and not bringing
to the aid of the states the power over the same subject, according to the leading
object of the constitution; - but extending the judicial power of the United States to cases
between citizens of the same state, not claiming lands under grants of different states
States; and to cases between a state and the citizens thereof - is ~~not and said~~ inope-
rative or void.
2. That the efficacy of the powers of the tribunals of Maryland to
grant to petitioners under the insolvent laws of said state, a personal dis-
charge, has not ceased by force of any existing act of Congress?

As Ginn attorney
for G. Thomas.

Greenbury Thomas

I

The warden of Baltimore
City and County Jail

Habeas Corpus.

The United States of America
district of Maryland, to wit
To the Warden of the Jail of Baltimore County and
City Greeting

You are hereby enjoined and commanded
to be and appear before the District
Court of the United States in and for
the Maryland District on Thursday
the tenth day of March instant at
10 o'clock in the forenoon of the same day, and
that you have with you the body of Greenbury
Thomas now in your custody, and that you certify
and make known the day and cause of the capture
and detention of the said Greenbury Thomas, and
that you then and there do submit to and receive
whatever the said Court shall determine upon
concerning you in this behalf according to law,
and have you then and there this writ. Witness
the Honorable Upton S Heath Judge of said
District Court, the first Tuesday in March in
the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred
and forty two.

Issued 9th March 1842.

J.H. Spicer
Dist. Ct.

I hereby certify that Greenberry Thomas was committed to my custody on the 3rd day of March, 1842, by Thos. B. Pottenger Esq., Marshal, for want of stipulation to answer to the suits of Federal Erickson & Hugh's Cotton before the U.S. District Court; and that he remained in my custody solely for the aforementioned cause until the 8 day of March 1842, when, an order to bring his body before the Commissioners of Insolvent Debtors for the State of Maryland & Baltimore City & County, was disobeyed by me on the ground that I deemed the Insolvent Laws of Maryland superseded by the operation of the General Bankrupt Law of the United States, and in the hope that my refusal would induce an application for a writ of Habeas Corpus when a competent Courts would decide the case in such manner as would shield me and my securities from pecuniary responsibility.

Jas. Disney
Warden of Baltimore
City & County Jail

March 10. 1842